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## 1,3,5-Trihydroxybenzene-2,2'-Bipyridyl (1/2): a Hydrogen-Bonded Structure Based on a Stem-and-Leaves Motif
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#### Abstract

In the 1:2 adduct formed between 1,3,5-trihydroxybenzene (phloroglucinol) and 2,2'-bipyridyl, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. $2 \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, the triol molecules and half of the bipyridyl molecules are linked into chains by means of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, forming a stem, while the other half of the bipyridyl molecules are pendent from this stem, via $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds.


## Comment

1,3,5-Trihydroxybenzene (phloroglucinol) forms a $2: 3$ hydrogen-bonded adduct with $4,4^{\prime}$-bipyridyl, with stoi-
chiometry $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]_{2}$. $\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]_{3}$ (Coupar et al., 1996). In this adduct, each molecule of the triol component acts as a triple donor in $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds and each molecule of the bipyridyl acts as a double acceptor. Two molecules of each component are linked by hydrogen bonds to form $R_{4}^{4}(30)$ rings (Bernstein et al., 1995), and these rings are linked by the third independent bipyridyl unit into a $C_{4}^{4}(30)\left[R_{4}^{4}(30)\right]$ chain-of-rings.

In contrast, cocrystallization of 1,3,5-trihydroxybenzene with $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl yields the $1: 2$ adduct $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \cdot\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]_{2}$, (I). In adduct (I) (Fig. 1), the

(I)
triol molecules all act as triple donors in $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, but the two independent bipyridyl units behave differently; half of the bipyridyl molecules act as double acceptors in $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, forming a chain motif with the triol molecules, while the other half of the bipyridyl molecules act as single acceptors of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds and are pendent from this chain, so forming a stem-and-


Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit of compound (I), showing the atomnumbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.
leaves motif (Ferguson et al., 1998). This connectivity in the $1: 2$ adduct, (I), is thus identical to that in the 1:2 adduct formed between 1,1,1-tris(4-hydroxyphenyl)ethane, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{3}$, and hexamethylenetetraminc (HMTA), $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, where half the HMTA molecules act as double acceptors within chains and half act as single acceptors pendent from the chains (Coupar et al., 1997). However, whereas the chains in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{3}$.(HMTA) $)_{2}$ adduct are generated by the action of a $2_{1}$ screw axis and are coiled to form triple helices, in compound (I), the chains are not coiled at all, but are generated by translation.

Atoms O1 and O3 act as donors to N11 and N31, respectively, within the asymmetric unit; atom O5 in the triol at $(x, y, z)$ acts as donor to atom N 21 in the bipyridyl at $(x, 1+y, z)$, so generating a $C_{2}^{2}(11)$ chain running parallel to the [010] direction. In addition to the hard (Braga et al., 1995) O-H $\cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, there is a single soft $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bond involving the otherwise-unused N 41 atom; the pyridyl C44 atom at $(x, y, z)$ acts as donor to N 41 in the unit at $\left(-x, \frac{1}{2}+y,-\frac{1}{2}-z\right)$, and repetition of this motif provides a $C(5)$ chain running parallel to [010], and generated by the action of the screw axis at $\left(0, y,-\frac{1}{4}\right)$. This soft hydrogen bond thus reinforces the main chain-forming $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds. Four such [010] chains run through each unit cell, but there


Fig. 2. A view of part of the crystal structure of (I), showing one of the chains parallel to [010].
are no hydrogen-bonding interactions between them. There are, however, some closely stacked and nearly parallel heteroaryl rings, whose interactions are likely to be weakly attractive (Hunter, 1994); thus, the N21containing ring in the molecular aggregate at $(x, y, z)$ is nearly parallel to, and only ca $3.6 \AA$ distant from, the two N21-containing rings in the units at $(x, y, z)$ and $\left(x,-\frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z\right)$. Propagation of these interactions by the symmetry operators thus generates two continuous stacks of alternating N21- and N31-containing rings running through the unit cell in the [001] direction.

The two independent molecules of $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl in the structure of (I) are both close to the trans-planar conformation; the angle between the heteroaryl N11and N 21 -containing rings is $15.0(1)^{\circ}$, and between the N 31 - and N 41 -containing rings, the angle is $14.7(1)^{\circ}$. In pure $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl, the molecules lie across centres of inversion in $P 2_{1} / c$, so that the rings are strictly parallel (Merritt \& Schroeder, 1956; Chisholm et al., 1981). This conformational preference can most plausibly be ascribed to the mutual repulsion of the dipoles generated by the N atoms. The bipyridyl molecules show a similar sequence of bond lengths (Table 1) to that found in the pure compound (Chisholm et al., 1981); the triol component shows a clear alternation of the values of the internal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles.

Examination of the structure with PLATON (Spek, 1998) showed that there were no solvent-accessible voids in the crystal lattice.

## Experimental

Equimolar quantities of 1,3,5-trihydroxybenzene and 2,2'bipyridyl were separately dissolved in methanol. The solutions were mixed and the resulting mixture set aside to crystallize, producing analytically pure (I). Elemental analysis: found C 70.6, H 5.0, N 12.6\%; $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C} 71.2, \mathrm{H} 5.1$, N $12.8 \%$. Crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were selected directly from the analytical sample.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} .2 \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
$M_{r}=438.48$
Monoclinic
$P 2_{1} / c$
$a=13.7975(13) \AA$
$b=11.9811$ (7) $\AA$
$c=14.261(2) \AA$
$\beta=110.516(10)^{\circ}$
$V=2208.0(4) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.319 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured

## Data collection

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 } & R_{\text {int }}=0.008 \\
\text { diffractometer } & \theta_{\text {max }}=25.13^{\circ}
\end{array}
$$

## Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

$\lambda=0.7107 \AA$
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=9.60-17.87^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.089 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294$ (1) K
Plate
$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm}$ Colourless
$\theta / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: none 4131 measured reflections 3967 independent reflections 2313 reflections with
$I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.038$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.098$
$S=0.992$
3967 reflections
302 parameters
H atoms constrained $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0501 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$h=-16 \rightarrow 15$
$k=0 \rightarrow 14$
$l=0 \rightarrow 17$
3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: $6.1 \%$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.151 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.153 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
Extinction coefficient: 0.0215 (12)

Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $1.370(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{C} 26$ | $1.335(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.364(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 31-\mathrm{C} 32$ | $1.347(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.366(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 31-\mathrm{C} 36$ | $1.336(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $1.343(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 41-\mathrm{C} 42$ | $1.338(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $1.336(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 41-\mathrm{C} 46$ | $1.333(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{C} 22$ | $1.338(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $121.16(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $118.69(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $119.06(15)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $117.65(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $120.84(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{C} 26$ | $117.06(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $118.99(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 32-\mathrm{N} 31-\mathrm{C} 36$ | $117.53(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $121.23(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 42-\mathrm{N} 41-\mathrm{C} 46$ | $117.16(17)$ |

Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$

| $\quad D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1-H1 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 11$ | 0.82 | 1.98 | $2.776(2)$ | 164 |
| O3-H3 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 31$ | 0.82 | 2.02 | $2.834(2)$ | 175 |
| O5-H5 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 21^{\prime}$ | 0.82 | 1.99 | $2.785(2)$ | 162 |
| C44—H44 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 41^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.93 | 2.59 | $3.492(3)$ | 163 |

$$
\text { Symmetry codes: (i) } x, 1+y, z ; \text { (ii) }-x, \frac{1}{2}+y,-\frac{1}{2}-z \text {. }
$$

Compound (I) crystallized in the monoclinic system; space group $P 2_{1} / c$ from the systematic absences. $H$ atoms were treated as riding atoms ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 0.93$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} 0.82 \AA$ ).

Data collection: CAD-4-PC Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1992). Cell refinement: SET4 and CELDIM in CAD-4-PC Software. Data reduction: DATRD2 in NRCVAX96 (Gabe et al., 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997a). Program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX96 and SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997b). Molecular graphics: NRCVAX96, ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) and PLATON (Spek, 1998). Software used to prepare material for publication: NRCVAX96, SHELXL97 and WordPerfect macro PRPCIF97 (Ferguson, 1997).

We are indebted to Dr J. F. Gallagher and Dublin City University for funds to purchase the X-ray tube used in the data collection. While no direct support for this work was provided by NSERC Canada, we do acknowledge that organization for partially funding the 1992 upgrade of the CAD-4 diffractometer.
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## Abstract

In the hydrogen-bonded structure of the title complex, $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2} . \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, the bis-phenol molecules are linked into $C(8)$ chains by means of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S}$ hydrogen bonds, and the $2,2^{\prime}-$ bipyridyl units, which act as single acceptors only, are pendent from these chains by means of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds. Pairs of these chains, related by centres of inversion, are mutually interlocked via their pendent arms.
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